

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/081,333	02/22/2002	Lorri Riley	RILO.95943	9812
27910	7590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER	
STINSON MORRISON HECKER LLP ATTN: PATENT GROUP			HOWARD, SHARON LEE	
1201 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TY, MO 64106-2150		1615	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
055		10/081,333	RILEY, LORRI
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Sharon L. Howard	1615
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to be solven, the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 J	lanuary 2004.	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	
3)□	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under	•	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)⊠ 6) [X]	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 10-18 is/are allowed. Claim(s)	wn from consideration.	
Applicat	ion Papers		
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	эе 37 CFR 1.85(а).
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• , ,
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachmen	t(s)		
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	y (PTO-413)
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Application/Control Number: 10/081,333

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 1-18 remain pending in this application

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Green ('946).

Green teaches a method for topically treating diseases of the skin in a human. See abstract. Green teaches calcium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide. See column 9, lines 65-68. Green teaches ointments as well as lotions which defines an applicating agent. See column 8, line 18. Green also discloses antimicrobial agents and antibiotics. See column 8, lines 45-48.

The prior art meets the claims of the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Green '946.

Green is applied above.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,333

Art Unit: 1615

Green does not particularly teach a topical nail formulation.

However, future-intended use is not critical in the composition. Green teaches the same composition having the same properties, i.e. a composition which comprises calcium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, antimicrobial agents and ointments, including lotions and an antibiotic.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of Green, because Green teaches a topical composition comprising calcium hydroxide, sodium hydroxide, antimicrobial agents, and ointments, which is known for the purpose for treating diseases of the skin, and it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to determine the thickness of the nail through routine experimentation.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/2/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Green does not disclose or suggest a formulation containing both sodium hydroxide and calcium hydroxide. Furthermore, Green does not teach that an active ingredient could be delivered through one's nail using a dual component penetration system of calcium hydroxide and sodium hydroxide.

Further, nothing in the cited reference suggests the desirability of putting an antifungal agent into a dual component penetration system of sodium hydroxide and calcium hydroxide. Green does not provide no teaching or suggestion that an antifungal agent would even be compatible with a mixture of sodium hydroxide and calcium hydroxide.

In response to applicant's arguments, the scope of the claims reads on the prior art, since the bases are equivalent to that of the applicant's. One would envision using either one of the bases or both, and one would get the same effect. Green does teach antimicrobial agents. See column 8, lines 45-48. Antimicrobial agents encompasses antifungal agents.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Claims 5-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10-18 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Howard whose telephone number is (571) 272-0596. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/081,333

Art Unit: 1615

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sharon Howard March 19, 2004

thoron Howard

JAMES M. SPEAR PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 1615